ENT-BEYERENE AND ENT-ATISENE DITERPENES FROM VIGUIERA INSIGNIS

GUILLERMO DELGADO, ALFONSO ROMO DE VIVAR, JORGE CARDENAS, ROGELIO PEREDA-MIRANDA* and EDUARDO HUERTA

Instituto de Química de la Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México†, Circuito Exterior, Ciudad Universitaria, Coyoacán 04510, México, D F, *Departamento de Química Orgánica, Escuela Nacional de Ciencias Biológicas, Instituto Politécnico Nacional, 11340 México, D F

(Revised received 10 February 1984)

Key Word Index—Viguiera insignis, Compositae, Heliantheae, diterpenoids, ent-beyer-15-ene-12 α ,19-diol, ent-atis-13-en-3 β ,16 α -diol

Abstract—The new diterpenes, ent-beyer-15-ene- 12α ,19-diol and ent-atis-13-en- 3β ,16 α -diol, were isolated as minor constituents from the aerial part of Viguiera insignis. The structures were established by biomimetic transformation, spectroscopic means and comparison with closely related compounds

INTRODUCTION

In a previous communication [1], we reported the diterpenoids 1–6 as the major constituents of *Viguiera insignis* Miranda In continuation of our studies on the constituents of *Viguiera* genus [2–6], we now report the isolation and structure determination of two additional new diterpenes which occur as minor constituents of this species

The structures of the new diterpenoids, ent-beyer-15-ene- 12α , 19-diol (8) and ent-atis-13-en- 3β , 16α -diol (12), were established by a biomimetic transformation, spectroscopic means and comparison with closely related compounds

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Exhaustive chromatography of the chloroform extract of V insignis afforded, in addition to 1-7, two minor isomeric new diols 8 and 12 The first diol 8, had molecular formula C₂₀H₃₂O₂ and its IR spectrum showed hydroxyl (3380 cm⁻¹) and olefin (730 cm⁻¹) absorptions The ¹H NMR spectrum (Table 1) exhibited typical signals of an ent-beyer-15-ene derivative an AB system (δ 5 68, 5 52, J = 5.7 Hz) of a disubstituted double bond of a cyclopentene which disappeared in the dihydroderivative 9 and three methyl groups $\delta 105$ (13Me), 097 (4Me) and 066 (10Me) A second AB system centred at δ 3 72, 3 41 (2H, J = 11.5 Hz), which shifted downfield on acylation in 10 and 11, indicated that a primary alcohol was located at C-19 [7] Treatment of 8 with TAI in situ [8] afforded a bistrichloro-acetyl carbamate 11, therefore showing that the compound was a diol The second hydroxyl group was secondary and axially oriented, because its geminal proton appeared at $\delta 3 62$ (1H, m, $W_{1/2} = 7$ Hz) in the ¹H NMR spectrum of 8 and shifted to $\delta 4 94$ (1H, m, $W_{1/2}$ = 7 Hz) in 11 Upon addition of Eu(fod)₃ to a solution of

8, the signal of the methyl at C-13 showed a significant downfield shift only concordant with a 12β -ol (Table 1) An identical behaviour has been previously found for 6 [1] Therefore, the structure of this diterpene was established as *ent*-beyer-15-ene-12 α ,19-diol (8)

The second new diterpene $(12, C_{20}H_{32}O_2)$ showed hydroxyl (3600 cm^{-1}) and olefin (860 cm^{-1}) absorptions in the IR spectrum Prolonged treatment of 12 with acetic anhydride-pyridine gave only a monoacetyl derivative 13, whose IR spectrum still showed hydroxyl absorptions, indicating the presence of a tertiary hydroxyl group The ¹H NMR spectrum of 12 (Table 1) revealed four quaternary methyl groups The singlets at δ 1 00 and 0 77 which shifted to 0 87 and 0 84 in the monoacetyl derivative 13 are indicative of a 4,4'-gem-dimethyl group adjacent to 3equatorial hydroxyl (geminal proton $\delta 3 20 dd$, J = 10, 5 4 Hz) and acetoxyl (geminal proton $\delta 4$ 45 dd, J = 10, 54 Hz) groups, respectively [9] In addition, the ¹H NMR spectrum of 12 displayed an ABX pattern (δ 5 77, d, 1H, J= 8 Hz, 6 06, dd, 1H, J = 7, 8 Hz, 2 25 m, 1H, $W_{1/2}$ = 12 Hz) characteristic of a bicyclo [2 2 2] octene system of the ent-atis-13-ene skeleton [10] The tertiary hydroxyl group was located at C-13, since the quaternary methyl geminal to this group resonated at $\delta 1$ 13

Furthermore, the chemical shift of the C-10 (δ 0 60) and C-13 (δ 1 13) methyl groups in 12 indicated that they were shielded by the double bond and therefore *endo* to the olefinic linkage as depicted in 12 [10] Catalytic hydrogenation of 12 gave 14 and this resulted in a significant downfield shift of the signals for the C-10 (δ 0 95, $\Delta\delta$ = 0 35) and C-13 (δ 1 28, $\Delta\delta$ = 0 15) methyl groups as expected Finally, proof of the structure and stereochemistry of 12 was obtained by chemical correlation with *ent*-beyer-15-ene-3 β ,12 α -diol (δ)

The biomimetic transformation of an ent-beyer-15-ene to an ent-atis-13-ene derivative has been previously reported under reductive [11] and acid conditions [12] In this case, treatment of 6, a compound also occurring in V insignis and whose structure was confirmed by crystallographic methods [1], with aqueous methanolic hydro-

2286 G Delgado et al

TAC = CONHCOCCI3

chloric acid gave a complex mixture from which ent-atis-13-en-3 β ,16 α -diol (12) was identified in ca 12% yield Better preparative results were obtained when the 3-monoacetyl ent-beyer-15-ene derivative 15 previously obtained [1], was treated with Jones reagent to afford the ent-beyer-15-en-12-keto compound 16 and the ent-atis-13-ene derivative 13 identical in all respects with the acetylation product of ent-atis-13-en-3 β ,16 α -diol (12), isolated from the natural source

EXPERIMENTAL

Mps are uncorr Aerial parts (1.5 kg) of V insignis, collected on October 2, 1981 ca 19 km SSE Izúcar de Matamoros, Puebla, Hwy 190, (voucher deposited in the National Herbarium, Instituto de Biología de la UNAM), were extracted and fractionated as previously described [1] Part of the non-polar fraction was treated with CH_2N_2 and chromatographed on silica gel impregnated with $AgNO_3$, affording 1-3 as methyl esters. In addition, 4 was isolated from these fractions and monogynol 7, mp 119-120° (lit [13] 119-120°, lit [14] 118-120°), not previously reported from this specimen, was isolated (0.035%) of the

dry wt) and characterized by standard methods and comparison with an authentic sample

The polar residue (19 5 g) was chromatographed on silica gel and elution with CHCl₃–Me₂CO (20 1) gave 245 mg of 8 Mp 143–144° (from so-Pr₂O-EtOAc) [α] $_D^{25}$ + 12 7° (MeOH, c 0 110) IR ν ^{KBr} cm⁻¹ 3380, 3040, 2920, 2860, 1442, 1363, 1022, 730; ¹H NMR (80 MHz, CDCl₃) see Table 1, EIMS (direct inlet) 75 eV, m/z (rel int) 304 [M] $^+$ (35 0), 286 (16), 273 (22), 268 (4), 255 (40), 246 (55), 217 (14), 215 (15), 135 (30), 119 (40), 107 (71), 106 (65), 105 (69), 91 (100), 81 (58), 79 (53), 77 (42) [Calculated for C₂₀H₃₂O₂ MW 304 2400 Found MW (MS) 304 2390]

Subsequent fractions eluted with the same solvent system gave 355 mg of 5 The fractions eluted with CHCl₃–Me₂CO (10 1) gave ent-atis-13-en-3 β ,16 α -diol (12) (114 mg) Mp 192–193° (from iso-Pr₂O–EtOAc) IR ν Chl₃ cm⁻¹ 3600, 3015, 2925, 2860, 2840, 1460, 1440, 1365, 1090, 1021, 1010, 860, ¹H NMR (80 MHz, CDCl₃) see Table 1, EIMS (direct inlet) 75 eV m/z (rel int) 304 [M] + (2), 245 (20), 246 (100), 228 (21), 213 (20), 137 (27), 136 (26), 135 (98), 124 (16), 123 (20), 91 (58), 81 (15), 79 (13), 77 (11) [Calculated for C₂₀H₃₂O₂ MW 304 2400 Found MW (HRMS) 304 2402] Fractions eluted with CHCl₃–Me₂CO (4 1) afforded 415 mg of 6

	8	8 a †	8b †	8c†	9	10	11	12	13	14	16
H-3	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	3 20 dd	4 45 dd	3 20 dd	4 45 dd
								(10, 54)	(10, 54)	(10, 45)	(10,54)
H-12	3 62 m	515 m	676 m	8 50 m	3 50 m	3 64 m	494 m	2 25 m	2 25 m	` <u> </u>	` <u> </u>
	$(W_{1/2} =$	7) $(W_{1/2} =$	$7)(W_{1/2} =$	$7)(W_{1/2}=12)$	$(W_{1/2} = 12)$						
H-13								6 06 dd	6 06 dd	_	_
								(7, 8)	(7, 8)		
H-14			_	_		_	_	5 77 d	577 d		
								(8)	(8)		
H-15	5 68 d	606d	6 44 d	6 82 d	_	5 68 d	5 80 d	` <u> </u>	`_	_	5 55 d
	(57)	(57)	(57)	(5 7)		(57)	(5 7)				(57)
H-16	5 52 d	5 88 d	6 26	6 67 d	_	5 52 d	5 57 d	_	_	_	6 02 d
	(57)	(57)	(57)	(57)		(5 7)	(5 7)				(57)
H-17	1 05 s	2 15 s	3 19 s	4 20 s	1 00	1 05 s	104s	1 13 s	1 12 s	1 28 s	1 09 s
H-18	097s	1 76 s	2 50 s	3 30 s	0 96	095s	1 04 s	1 00 s	087 <i>s</i>	099s	087s
H-19	3 72 d	595d	793d	9 87 d	3 38 d	4 22 d	4 48 d	0 77 s	0 84 s	0 79 s	0 87 s
	(11.5)	(11 5)	(11 5)	(11 5)	(11 5)	(11 5)	(11 5)				
	3 41 d	5 63 d	7 62 d	9 53 d	3 73 d	3 83 d	4 05 d				
	(115)	(11 5)	(11.5)	(11 5)	(11 5)	(11 5)	(11 5)				
H-20	0 66 s	1 17	1 65 s	215s	0 89 s	068s	0.70s	0 60 s	0 62 s	095s	0 77 s
Others		'	- 555	- 100	0 0 7 0	2 04	8 24	0 003	2 03	0,55	204
						(Ac)	(2NH-)		(AC)		(Ac)

Table 1 ¹H NMR spectra of compounds 8-14 and 16 (80 MHz, CDCl₃)*

Derivatives of **8** Acetylation Ac_2O -pyridine treatment of **8** (50 mg) for 1 hr at room temperature yielded the derivative **10** (37 mg after crystallization), mp 130–131° (from iso-Pr₂O-EtOAc), IR $\nu_{max}^{CHCl_3}$ cm⁻¹ 3600, 2920, 2860, 1725, 1450, 1390, 1370, 1240, 1925, ¹H NMR (80 MHz, CDCl₃) see Table 1, EIMS (direct inlet) 75 eV m/z (rel int) 346 [M]⁺ (32), 328 (13), 288 (30), 255 (21), 135 (46), 120 (43), 107 (100), 105 (81), 93 (64), 91 (94), 81 (48), 43 (81) Hydrogenation Compound **8** (70 mg) in 8 ml MeOH was hydrogenated using Pd-C (9 mg) to afford 65 mg of **9**, Mp 184–186° (from iso-Pr₂O-MeOH) IR $\nu_{max}^{CHCl_3}$ cm⁻¹ 3620, 3003, 2925, 2870, 1460, 1370, 1280, 1130, 1075, ¹H NMR (80 MHz, CDCl₃) see Table 1, EIMS (direct inlet) 75 eV m/z (rel int) 306 [M]⁺ (6), 276 (13), 275 (56), 258 (27), 257 (100), 186 (13), 175 (24), 123 (33), 95 (37), 81 (31), 79 (52)

Derivatives of 12 Acetylation Ac_2O -pyridine treatment of 12 (40 mg) for 72 hr at room temp yielded the derivative 13 (34 mg), mp 123–124° (from iso- Pr_2O -EtOAc) IR $v_{\rm max}^{\rm CHCl_3}$ cm $^{-1}$ 3600, 3000, 2942, 2922, 2860, 1725, 1450, 1395, 1372, 1250, 1120, 1100, 1030, 975, 1 H NMR (80 MHz, CDCl₃) see Table 1, EIMS (direct inlet) 75 eV m/z (rel int) 346 [M] $^{+}$ (<1), 328 (1), 288 (100), 228 (24), 137 (50), 135 (99), 124 (33), 123 (35), 92 (31), 91 (75), 81 (22), 79 (19), 43 (66), 41 (12) Hydrogenation A soln of 12 (46 9 mg) in EtOH (7 ml) was hydrogenated with Pd-C (8 mg) to give 39 2 mg of 14, mp 185–186° (from iso- Pr_2O -EtOH) IR $v_{\rm max}^{\rm CHCl_3}$ cm $^{-1}$ 3618, 3000, 2940, 2888, 1470, 1450, 1390, 1370, 1095, 1043, 1020, 995, 1 H NMR (80 MHz, CDCl₃) see Table 1, EIMS (direct inlet) 75 eV m/z (rel int) 306 [M] $^{+}$ (1), 288 (52), 273 (100), 270 (43), 255 (56), 227 (22), 159 (21), 121 (40), 112 (42), 110 (48), 91 (52), 81 (43), 43 (55)

Conversion of 6 to 12 To a chilled soln of ent-beyer-15-ene- 3β ,12 α -diol (6, 80 mg) in absolute MeOH (5 ml), cone HCl was added (0 11 ml) The soln was then stirred at 0-5° for 3 hr, diluted with H₂O and extracted with CHCl₃ The residue obtained was a complex mixture of unidentified products from which 9 6 mg of 12 were separated by silica gel column chromatography, eluted

with CHCl₃-Me₂CO (20 1)

Conversion of 15 to 13 and 16 To a soln of 135 mg of 15 in Me_2CO (50 ml) at 0-5°, 0 3 ml of Jones reagent was added, the reaction being monitored by TLC After 15 min, the soln was filtered and usual work-up afforded 96 4 mg residue, which was chromatographed on a silica gel column using *n*-hexane–EtOAc (20 1) as eluent The more mobile component was 16 (19 1 mg) Mp 108–109° (from *iso*-Pr₂O–EtOAc) IR $v_{\rm max}^{\rm CHCl_3}$ cm⁻¹ 3000, 2980, 2940, 2860, 1729, 1705, 1450, 1390, 1380, 1255, 1090, 1030, 1010, 980, ¹H NMR (80 MHz, CDCl₃) see Table 1, EIMS (direct inlet) 75 eV m/z (rel int) 344 [M]⁺ (63), 316 (24), 241 (20), 159 (5), 81 (20), 79 (28), 76 (39), 43 (100), 41 (14) The less mobile compound, 32 8 mg, was identical by direct comparison (mmp, IR, ¹H NMR) with an authentic sample of 13

Acknowledgements—We thank Professor W Herz (Florida State University, U S A) for the HRMS, Professor F Walls (Instituto de Química de la U N A M) for an authentic sample of monogynol, and Mr José L Villaseñor (National Herbarium, Instituto de Biología de la U N A M) for identification of plant material This work was supported in part by the Consejo Nacional de Ciencia y Tecnología, México Proyecto PCCBBNA-002049

REFERENCES

- 1 Delgado, G, Romo de Vivar, A, Ortega, A, Cárdenas, J and Schlemper, E O (1983) Phytochemistry 22, 1227
- 2 Romo de Vivar, A, Guerrero, C, Díaz, E, Bratoeff, E and Jiménez, L (1976) Phytochemistry 15, 525
- 3 Romo de Vivar, A, Delgado, G, Guerrero, C, Reséndiz, J and Ortega, A (1978) Rev Latinoam Quím 9, 171
- 4 Romo de Vivar, A, Bratoeff, E, Ontiveros, E, Lankin, D C and Bhacca, N S (1980) Phytochemistry 19, 1795
- 5 Delgado, G, Romo de Vivar, A and Herz, W (1982) Phytochemistry 21, 1305

^{*}Coupling constants (Hz) in parentheses

[†]Addition of (8a) 0 282, (8b) 0 547 and (8c) 0 823 mol Eu(fod)₃ per mol substrate

2288 G DELGADO et al

6 Delgado, G, Alvarez, L and Romo de Vivar, A Phytochemistry 23, 675

- 7 Wenkert, E and Beak, P (1961) Tetrahedron Letters 358
- 8 Samek, Z and Budesinsky, M (1979) Collect Czech Chem Commun 44, 558
- 9 Hanson, J R (1970) Tetrahedron 26, 2711
- 10 Ayer, W, Ball, J H, Rodríguez, B and Valverde, S (1974) Can J Chem 52, 2792
- 11 Pegel, K H, Piacenza, P L, Phillips, L and Waight, E S (1973) J Chem Soc Chem Commun 552
- 12 von-Carsten-Lichterfelde, C, Panizo, F M, de Quesada, T G, Rodríguez, B, Valverde, S, Ayer, W A and Ball, J H (1975) Can J Chem 53, 1172
- 13 McCrindle, R, Martin, A and Murray, R D H (1968) J Chem. Soc (C) 2349
- 14 Caballero, Y and Walls, F (1970) Bol Inst Quim Univ Nacl Autón Mex 22, 79